

0000 36035

DOE/REG/CONTROL  
OUTGOING LETTER

DOE ORDER: 4700.1  
94RF08120

# EG&G ROCKY FLATS

EG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC.

ROCKY FLATS PLANT, P.O. BOX 464, GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0464 • (303) 966-7000

DIST.	
AMARAL, M.E.	
BURLINGAME, A.H.	
BUSBY, W.S.	
BRANCH, D.B.	
CARNIVAL, G.J.	
DAVIS, J.G.	
ERREHA, D.W.	
FRAY, R.E.	
GEIS, J.A.	
GLOVER, W.S.	
SOLAN, P.M.	
IANNI, B.J.	
ARMAN, L.K.	
HEALY, T.J.	
EDDAHL, T.	
HILBIG, J.G.	
HUTCHINS, N.M.	
ACKSON, D.T.	
CELL, R.E.	
QUESTER, A.W.	
ARX, G.E.	
CDONALD, M.M.	
ACKENNA, F.G.	
CONTROSE, J.K.	
MORGAN, R.V.	
POTTER, G.L.	
IZZUTO, V.M.	
SISING, T.L.	
SANDLIN, N.B.	
SCHWARTZ, J.K.	
ETLOCK, G.H.	
TEWART, D.L.	
TIGER, S.G.	
OBIN, P.M.	
DOORHEIS, G.M.	
VILSON, J.M.	

July 29, 1994

94-RF-08120

F. R. Lockhart  
Environmental Restoration Division  
DOE, RFFO

## PATH FORWARD FOR OU 4 DISPUTE - SRK-161-94

Action: Provide alternate direction if required

Several meetings have recently addressed the scope of work and schedule of activities for DOE and EG&G to resolve the open OU 4 dispute and implement the resulting decisions. This letter documents EG&G's understanding of the deliverables and dates associated with this effort. If we have misunderstood DOE's expectations, please contact us immediately.

## DISPOSITION OF ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL ISSUES AND RESOLUTION OF DISPUTE

DOE and the regulators have been engaged in a dispute resolution that includes additional technical issues not related to the scope under dispute. DOE and the regulators appear to have accepted the need to address these eleven issues, plus two additional issues that have been discussed but not written into the draft. These issues could have a substantial impact on the Decision Document and the near-term scope of work required to achieve the next IAG milestone. EG&G understands the following to be the actions promised by DOE.

- 1] Response to comments on the Draft Decision Document: We understand DOE has tasked EG&G with this new activity. Previously, response to regulator comments consisted of appropriate revisions in the Proposed Decision Document. We are now planning to annotate the regulator comment sheets we have received with a response indicating the comment will be incorporated, rejected, or otherwise. Specifics of the response will be incorporated into the Proposed Decision Document. We understand that delivery of these informal, comment-sheet annotations will satisfy this action.

DOE/REG/CONTROL	X
DOE/REG/RECORD	X
DOE/REG/RAFFIC	X
DOE/REG/ATS/T130G	X

### CLASSIFICATION:

CNI	
UNCLASSIFIED	
CONFIDENTIAL	
SECRET	

- 2] Evaluation of slurry walls: This evaluation is currently underway and takes priority over other tasks. An informal presentation of results will complete this task for the purposes of the dispute "additional issues". The evaluation will be incorporated as appropriate into the options section of the Proposed Decision Document.

AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER  
SIGNATURE  
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION  
REVIEW WAIVER PER  
CLASSIFICATION OFFICE  
DATE

EG&G plans to deliver the results of this task on August 8, 1994.

REPLY TO RFP CC NO:

- 3] Identification of sections of the IM/IRA DD that will be modified by the changed technical approach and a brief description of those modifications.

OPTIONAL ITEM STATUS  
PARTIAL/OPEN  
CLOSED  
FOR APPROVALS:

EG&G plans to deliver the results of this task on September 12, 1994.

PREP & TYPIST INITIALS  
AC / fjm

#### RESOLUTION OF THE ELEVEN ISSUES

- 4] We understand that DOE and the regulators intend to review and evaluate the eleven issues by August 8, 1994. By August 8, each issue will be dispositioned as: resolved; scheduled for resolution at the appropriate point in the project (EG&G would like to provide DOE with recommendations of how to schedule these resolutions); or (where the issue exceeds the scope of OU 4) referred to another forum for resolution. EG&G has been tasked with the capture of the disposition of these issues. We plan to attend the meeting where the DOE and the regulators perform the disposition and record minutes from that meeting. If the meeting occurs on schedule, EG&G can provide the minutes by September 12, 1994.

#### RESOLUTION OF DISPUTE

- 5] EG&G's plans are dependent on a resolution of the core issue of the dispute, sludge disposition, by August 8, 1994. If the dispute is not resolved on this schedule, the September 12 deliverables discussed above (numbers 1 and 2) may not accurately reflect the final resolution.

#### NEGOTIATION OF NEW MILESTONE DATES

DOE has proposed new dates for the IAG milestones in their letter that initiated the dispute. EG&G had projected the latest-date that the dispute must be resolved to support those proposed dates. Since our projection of the latest-date, EG&G has received further information that indicates the schedule must be revised on two points: the project logic-ties between the Decision Document and the Title II Design are incomplete; and the review and approval durations for final regulator signatures is inadequate. Further, we need to add the tasks and durations to complete the dispute resolution and issue disposition, which will be critical path activities that are not included in the current schedule estimates.

- 6] EG&G must rework the schedule and provide new proposed milestone dates to DOE. If the dispute is resolved by August 8, the new proposed dates can be ready by September 12, 1994.

EG&G is proceeding on the six numbered items above. If DOE has different expectations or direction, or would like to discuss the issues further, please contact Andy Ledford, extension 8673. To provide adequate support to DOE's efforts, any redirection of our efforts must be received immediately.



S. R. Keith  
Program Manager  
Solar Pond Projects  
EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.

KCL:pjm

Orig. and 1 cc-F. R. Lockhart

cc:  
S. Howard - DOE, RFFO  
S. Surovchak - " "  
M. A. Witherill - " "